



Donnell & Associates

LAWYERS & NOTARY PUBLIC

Level 1, Unit 20, 18 Link Drive, Wairau Park, Auckland 0627
P O Box 334050, Sunnynook, Auckland 0743

Phone: 443 0172 Fax: 443 0516
Email: info@dalaw.co.nz

Visit our website at: www.dalaw.co.nz

NEWSLETTER

Issue 3

AUG 2016 – Oct 2016

INSIDE THIS EDITION

Eureka! Now What?	1
Secrecy Agreements	2
What goes on in a property transaction?	3
The legal results of a market decline.....	3
Snippets.....	4
Net Migration	4
"Click Agree" Agreements.....	4

Eureka! Now What?


New Zealanders are master inventors. What Kiwi inventors are not quite so good at is moving beyond the eureka moment and into the next stages of the innovation cycle.

This article does not delve into the patent process. That is the domain of patent attorneys. However, to move beyond eureka, an inventor needs to know:

- Who to speak to;
- Where to put scarce capital; and
- What the ultimate end points might be.

Who to speak to?

Speed to market is achieved through collaboration with the right advisers and commercial partners. Finding these partners is simple enough after speaking with a lawyer that specialises in technology and/or early stage commercialisation, local innovation hubs or government agencies. Under the veil of protection from a secrecy agreement, a discussion with a business adviser might lead to investors, manufacturers, designers or potential customers who may partner with you to source capital, knowledge and other valuable resources.



Where to spend the money?

It is common for time and money to be spent on version 2, 3 or 4 of an invention only to discover that a patent already exists in another part of the world and the inventor will be stopped from selling the invention, or that a big customer would have been happy to pay for the development work for versions 2, 3 and 4 including a salary for the inventor.

Money is better spent (when it is scarce) on protecting the invention (or at least confirming it is indeed new) and then talking to investors, manufacturers, designers or potential customers about the invention to establish what the market wants from versions 2, 3 and 4 and whether the inventor needs to pay for it him/herself.

Where will it end?

People who invent and develop products for a living generally have a commercial and exit strategy in mind:

1. To operate a business selling the product (requires marketing, financial and business nous) and take a wage from that business;

All information in this newsletter is to the best of the authors' knowledge true and accurate. No liability is assumed by the authors, or publishers, for any losses suffered by any person relying directly or indirectly upon this newsletter. It is recommended that clients should consult a senior representative of the firm before acting upon this information.

2. Sell the invention at an early stage and invent something else while the buyer develops the invention and takes it to market (usually adopted by inventors without the skill or desire to develop the invention further); or
3. Develop the invention to the market stage (such that it is ready to sell and a market has been proven to exist) and then sell it or license it to a third party to sell the product to customers (this is a common approach which maximises gains to the inventor without requiring the inventor to have or develop marketing, financial and business nous; though does require an ability to collaborate and convince

investors and partners to fund early stage development).

How an inventor should manage their invention, what steps to take and what advice to obtain will vary depending on which of the above three (albeit broadly framed) approaches the inventor intends to take. Deciding on which approach or learning more about what each approach actually entails is critical.

Conclusion

The eureka moment is the most exhilarating step in the invention lifecycle, but it is only the first critical step to getting the invention into the market. Getting advice early will save money, speed up the process to market and maximise the outcomes available to each inventor.

Secrecy Agreements

The following mistakes are common with secrecy agreements (otherwise known as confidentiality or non-disclosure agreements):


1. The parties rely on a template off the internet; and
2. The secrecy agreement remains the only agreement in place past the initial discussion stage.

Beware the template

Secrecy agreements are drafted with specific purposes, discussions and circumstances in mind. In the first instance a secrecy agreement may place the obligations of confidence on one party only or on both parties). If both parties intend to share information, the agreement should be mutual. However, if only one party intends to share information then the one-way agreement is used.

The discussions may relate to intellectual property (including copyright, patent rights, technical information and know how). Any intellectual property that results from the discussions may, depending on the terms of the agreement, belong to:

1. The discloser;
2. The recipient; or
3. The parties jointly.



Some templates omit reference to intellectual property entirely; this is dangerous. The provisions dealing with ownership are critical and who owns intellectual property will depend on the circumstances and purpose of the disclosure. In any event, the discloser would be concerned to discover that the recipient owned the intellectual property or might share ownership if he or she was simply looking to have a preliminary discussion with the recipient and nothing more.

Horses for courses

Relying on a secrecy agreement beyond the initial discussions is dangerous.

Secrecy agreements are only intended to cover the initial discussion. If the discussion leads to a relationship (whether that is to develop an idea further, invest, consult or purchase), that relationship needs to be governed by an agreement that deals, amongst other matters, with what each party will be doing with the secret information that was initially disclosed. For instance, if the recipient of information is asked to invest in an idea, that person will want to own or lay claim to intellectual property relating to the idea. As such, while it would have been inappropriate to grant an interest in the intellectual property at disclosure stage, it might become vital for intellectual property to be jointly owned beyond the initial disclosure. Unless the change in approach to intellectual property is recorded in a fresh agreement, one party or the other risks losing their investment (in time or money).

The hesitance to record the next stage of the relationship is understandable. Relationships are fluid and evolve quickly. The discloser may be "selling" the concept to the recipient and attempting to avoid roadblocks and in many cases money is stretched, so the discloser will hope to avoid the cost of having an agreement drafted.

However, some agreement, even an informal one, is better than nothing.

Conclusion

It is common to see an idea or business relationship not reach its potential or for disputes to slow progress due to poorly drafted or ill-considered secrecy agreements. As the first agreement to be signed between potential partners, investors, consultants or investors, it is often the most vital.

What goes on in a property transaction?

Many kiwis will, during their lifetimes buy and sell property. Property transactions are not simple; nor should they be. The importance and value of a property transaction alone necessitates a degree of complexity and care.

However, the transaction and how it is completed is not well understood by the general public. It may, therefore, be useful for property owners and potential property owners to consider what the lawyers do in the background to complete a sale, purchase or refinance.

Manager

A lawyer in a standard property transaction is the key-point of contact for several parties to the transaction. The lawyers (for both sides of a sale) are the "keepers" and enforcer of the contract, the negotiator, and an advisor.

Consequently, a lawyer manages the transaction by communicating with the key participants in the transaction, including banks/lenders, Kiwi Saver scheme and fund managers, real estate agents, Government agencies, local authorities, mortgage and insurance

brokers, tenants and property managers, body corporate managers, valuers, surveyors, engineers and builders.

Informer

Behind the scenes, the lawyer obtains and collates all of the information received by the various participants to the transaction and, if required, informs the client of the critical points in each report, agreement or offer.

Key to the role as informer is to keep all participants, but crucially the client, informed of key dates and deadlines in the transaction. Missing a date or deadline can have significant financial and practical implications.

Adviser

A lawyer will advise the client on legal and other issues that arise in the transaction. Advice may include raising issues with the legal elements of the title to the land, problems with a Land Information Memorandum ("LIM"), assisting the client to exit an agreement or providing options for handling problems on the day of settlement.

In certain circumstances, the lawyer may also be asked to give advice on structures for ownership of the property, relationship property considerations and complexities around family trusts, guarantees, gifting and insurance.

Custodian and transactor

A lawyer must communicate with and meet the requirements of banks and other lenders. For instance,

the lawyer must give certain assurances to lenders before they will advance money to complete the transaction. To give these assurances, the lawyer must investigate, compliance with the lender's instructions and various laws. Unless such investigation is completed and the bank/lender is comfortable, the funds will not be advanced and even when funds are advanced, in most cases they will only be advanced to the lawyer, as custodian, to use in buying the property.

The lawyer must ensure that the legal title to the property, the physical ownership of the property and the funds themselves change hands in such a way as the parties are protected. This process of settlement is carefully staged so that the funds, securities (such as mortgages) and the property change hands concurrently.

Once the lawyer has the necessary funds to complete the transaction or has received those funds following a sale, the lawyer is required to pay those funds to the correct person; be it the other lawyer, the bank/lender, secured parties, real-estate agents or the client themselves.

Completion

Hopefully, after the lawyer plays its part, a buyer gets the land, the seller gets some money, the bank gets a mortgage and all other participants in the transaction get what they need without a hitch.



The legal results of a market decline

When a market is in relative good health, there is a good chance economists will be predicting a future decline. In light of the current press on New Zealand's economy, this article explores some things that can happen, in a legal sense, during a market decline.

Insolvency

A person (including corporate persons and trusts) that is insolvent, put simply, is a person that cannot pay debts as they fall due. The implications of insolvency depend on whether that person is an individual, a company, a trust or another type of entity. However, in all cases the risks to that person's property/assets are much the same.

Creditors (parties to whom the insolvent person owes money) have certain rights that crystallise upon the person's insolvency, including:

1. A right to place the person, if an individual, into bankruptcy;
2. A right to place the person, if a company into receivership or liquidation; and
3. A right to seek the return of sums paid by the person to other creditors or third parties back to that person to pay the debt (or a portion of it).

The person that is insolvent is able to take steps to delay or stop the above (and other steps) by creditors and it falls to the Courts to make orders that the above steps are carried out. However, in a market decline where capital to defend claims by creditors may be scarce it is often difficult for a person that is being pursued by creditors to stave off the inevitable.

Bankruptcy

If a natural person is adjudicated bankrupt, their assets are placed under the control of the Official Assignee. The

Official Assignee is then able to use those assets to pay that person's debts. The insolvent person is restricted from certain activities and roles and the effects of the bankruptcy survive until the insolvent person applies for a discharge from bankruptcy.

In certain circumstances, sums that may have been paid or gifted by the insolvent person to creditors, related parties or third parties may be clawed back by the Official Assignee to be added to the pool of assets available to satisfy debt.

Where the insolvent person has no realisable assets and the debts are less than \$47,000, the Official Assignee may take a step short of placing the person into bankruptcy.

The process involves using the "no asset procedure" in the Insolvency Act 2006 which allows the person to resolve their short term credit problems.

Receivership

Receivership is a process in which the assets of the insolvent company are placed under the control of a receiver. The receiver then uses the assets of that company and income that continues to be derived from the company's business, to pay the debts and attempt to negotiate terms with the creditors such that the company may trade out of insolvency. If the receivership is successful, an application may be made to the Court to remove the company from receivership. If the receivership is unsuccessful, the company may be placed into liquidation.



Liquidation

In liquidation, the assets of the company are sold to pay debts and the company is eventually removed from the register.

A company may, before receivership or liquidation is triggered, place itself into voluntary administration to, hopefully, improve the outcome of the insolvency to the company and its creditors. However, the process is complicated and therefore still requires an administrator to be appointed and relies on the creditors' cooperation. Advice should be taken before taking steps to enter voluntary administration.

Eviction


If the insolvent person owes money to a landlord, to whom they are obligated to pay rental, the landlord may (in addition to pursuing the debt):

1. In a commercial tenancy (for instance office or warehouse space) seek an order from the Court to lock the tenant out of the premises and require the tenant to remove its property.
2. In a residential tenancy, apply to the Tenancy Tribunal to terminate the tenancy.

Both parties should take specialist advice on eviction and termination.

Mortgagee sales

Mortgagee sales are a common occurrence in a market decline. When entering into a mortgage with a lender, the borrower agrees that money against which the mortgage is secured, if they are unable to pay the interest and/or principal, the holder of the mortgage security may sell the property to pay off the loan.



Similar rights accrue to holders of other securities such as those that might apply to cars and other personal assets.

Conclusion

Anyone experiencing credit problems or finding it difficult to pay debts as they fall due should seek immediate advice so that early intervention is possible and the best outcomes can be achieved for all involved.

Snippets

Net Migration

Recently, New Zealand has witnessed record high net migration. In the May 2016 year, 68,400 non-New Zealand citizens have migrated to New Zealand. Unsurprisingly, therefore, the media has been filled with reports on net migration and its effects on New Zealand's economy; in particular, the coincidental rise in house prices.

Net migration is a calculation of the balance between people moving to a country for more than one year ("immigrants"), and people leaving the country ("emigrants"), over a twelve-month period. Undoubtedly, social, economic and fiscal effects result from fluctuations in migration; however the degree of benefit to a country remains a contentious matter. In respect of the housing market, recent studies have shown a strong correlation between net gain and house price inflation.

Essentially, the correlation between net migration and property values is attributed to an imbalance in supply and demand. Similar studies focused on the housing market, determined migration flow quantified at one percent of the population, is associated with an eight to twelve percent change in house prices after a year.

We appear to be experiencing that correlation in New Zealand, as in the May 2016 year, New Zealand property values grew by around thirteen percent.

"Click Agree" Agreements

Do you have Facebook or a smart phone or have you brought goods or services online? If so, then you have likely entered into an enforceable contract; all with the simple click of your mouse or swipe of your finger.

The past decade has posed enormous changes to consumerism including the way we trade and carry-out our business online, even more so with the advent of smartphones, online shopping and social media. Consumers must ensure their understanding of the content and enforceability of "Click Agreements."

Click Agreements include warranties, exclusions and disclaimers of liability, intellectual property ownership, and the relevant governing law.

The enforceability of Click Agreements is yet to be tested in New Zealand courts. However, it has been established and widely accepted overseas that the traditional principles of contract law apply and if ever tested here, the outcome will likely be the same.



Critically, there must be an express record of acceptance by the consumer, as part of the transaction process; hence the requirement to "click agree".

If you have any questions about the newsletter items, please contact me, I am here to help.